

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/721,589	11/24/2003	Francis J. Marentic	I26.12-0003	5782		
27367	7590 03/31/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	N CHAMPLIN & KEL	SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM				
) - INTERNATIONAL C ID AVENUE SOUTH	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
MINNEAPO	DLIS, MN 55402-3319		1774			
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,				/		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/721,589	MARENTIC ET	AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Betelhem Shewareged	1774	,		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported by Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	address		
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 136(a). In no event, however, may a repwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTE, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 J	<u>anuary 2006</u> .				
2a)⊠	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3)[rs, prosecution as to t	he merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under be	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,4-7,9,10 and 39-49</u> is/are pending i	in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1,4-7,9,10 and 39-49</u> is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•		
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		-			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,			
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,	•	• •		
' ' '	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action of form i	P10-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	eceived in this Nationa	al Stage		
* (application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eceived			
`	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not in	socived.			
Attachmen	nt(s)					
1) 🔀 Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (P	TO-152)		
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		· ,		

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's response filed on 01/09/2006 has been fully considered. The 35 USC 103 rejection has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment. The 35 USC 102 rejection has been modified to include claims 44, 45 and 47-49 in the rejection.

2. Claims 1, 9, 10, 39 and 40 are amended, claims 2, 3, 8 and 11-38 are cancelled, claims 41-49 are added, and claims 1, 4-7, 9, 10 and 39-49 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 44, 43 and 47-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamauchi et al. (US 5,387,013).

Yamauchi discloses a transfer media comprising a substrate, a design layer and an adhesive layer, wherein the design layer comprises carbon black (Fig. 5, col. 4, line 50 and col. 31, line 17). The design layer is equivalent to the claimed ink film, the adhesive layer is equivalent to the claimed tacky resin, and the carbon black is equivalent to the claimed pigment.

New Rejection

Claim Objections

- 4. Claims 6, 7 and 46 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. Claims 6 and 7 are dependent up on canceled claim 2.
 - b. In claim 7, the word "isophthallic" is misspelled as ---isopthallic---.

Art Unit: 1774

c. Claim 46 is identical to claim 10.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10 and 39-49 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kobayashi et al. (US 5,643,659), as evidenced by Rinz (US 6,040,391) and List of Organic Solvent Copolyester resins (Internet Printout).

Kobayashi discloses a transfer sheet comprising a substrate sheet, a coloring layer comprising a coloring agent and a hot-melt binder, and a metallic ink layer comprising a metallic pigment and a hot-melt binder (abstract). The coloring layer is equivalent to the claimed first layer and the metallic ink layer is equivalent the claimed second layer. Both the coloring layer and the metallic layer comprise polyester as the binder (20I. 2, line 62 and col. 3, line 44). The amount of coloring agent in the coloring layer is 5-100 parts by weight for 100 parts by weight of the binder (col. 3, line 29). In the Examples the binder used in the metallic layer is polyester of Vylon 200 manufactured by Toyobo Co., Ltd., wherein this polyester has adhesive property, which is evidenced by List of Organic Solvent Copolyester resins. With respect to claims 7 and 43, polyester is a condensation product of polybasic acid such as isophthalic acid

Art Unit: 1774

and polyol such as neopentyl glycol, and this is evidenced by Rinz (see col. 2, lines 35-59 of Rinz).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. (US 5,643,659).

Kobayashi does not disclose the use of a release liner over the metallic ink layer. However, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to cover the adhesive layer of Kobayashi with a release liner so as to keep the metallic ink layer from being damaged while handling and/or storing. Protecting an outer layer with a release liner is well known in the transfer medium art.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5 and 9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

. .

Art Unit: 1774

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betelhem Shewareged whose telephone number is 571-272-1529. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,589

Page 6

Art Unit: 1774

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B.S. March 24, 2006.

BETELHEM SHEWAREGED PRIMARY EXAMINER